

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/757,145	01/14/2004	Randal J. Jolitz	55616.107495	3169	
27526	7590 03/24/2006		EXAMINER		
	LL SANDERS PEPER	CHAPMAN, J	CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E		
4801 Main Str Suite 1000	reet	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
KANSAS CIT	TY, MO 64112	3635			

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/757,145	i	JOLITZ, RANDAL J.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Chapman E		3635				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	ntion appears on the d	cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS TOTAL THIS TOTA	S COMMUNICA tt, however, may a rep expire SIX (6) MONTH ation to become ABA	ATION.  If you be timely filed  If from the mailing date of this of the control o				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 14 January 2004.						
•—	Γhis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
, —								
<i>,</i>	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT tr No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/14/04</u> .	TO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT -·	FO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/757145 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

Claims 1 and 12 are objected to for the following reasons:

Claims 1 and 12: the height of the front surface greater than that of the back
does not automatically create or to infer that the two sides surfaces are tapered
and "a shingle placed flat on the top surface will extend back......". Further,
applicant has not positively recited the tapered side surfaces

## 35 USC 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirkhuff (3852934) in view of Gleason et al (4279106). Kirkuff discloses a starter block for facilitating the subsequent positioning of shingles upon a hip roof, the starter block 72 comprising:

- 1. a top surface 74
- 2. two side surfaces
- 3. front surface 82 having a rectangular face
- 4. back surface 80 having a rectangular face
- 5. the height of the front surface is greater than that of the back surface
- 6. a shingle 14 placed flat on the top surface 74 will extend back to the roof without bending. See figure 4

Art Unit: 3635

7. The width of the starter strip is substantially equal to the width of the shingle, column 8, lines 45-50

Page 3

- 8. a nailing zone on the top surface, figure 4
- 9. the starter block is made of a polymer material but does not include a filler commonly used in shingles as shown by Kirkhoff, column 6, lines 46-64. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the starter block made of not only a foam polyurethane but also a filler like the shingle to create a uniform structure to the roof
- 10. the front surface is shown in figure 3 to be twice the height of the back; the particular dimensions of the starter block/shingle has been considered a matter of choice; one of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated the dimension of the shingle to be used and would have fashioned the starter block accordingly
- 11. the top surface resembles a slate
- 12. a coarse of starter blocks

Kirkhuff lacks the tapered side edges. However tapered side edges is known in the art of shingles as shown by Gleason et al, element 14, figure 3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kirkhuff to taper any edge to give a finished or different appearance to starter block as shown by Gleason et al.

Art Unit: 3635

Earhardt (5953862).

Claims 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirkhuff (3852934) in view of Gleason et al (4279106) as applied to clam 1 and further in view of

The nailing zone is not indented as shown by Earhardt et al, see abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kirhuff to include the indented nailing zone to accommodate proper placement of the nail upon installation of the starter block and shingles.

Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirkhuff (3852934) in view of Gleason et al (4279106) as applied to claim 1 and further in view of Bussey (2142996). Kirkhoff lacks the nibs extending from the side surfaces of the shingle/starter block. Bussey discloses nibs 24/26/25 extending from the side surface of his roofing shingle 27. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include these nibs on the starter block/shingle of Kirkhoff to provide an aligning means upon installation of the starter block/shingles on the roof.

Claims 12-15 and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hardy (2193233) in view of Kirkhoff and Gleason et al (4279106) and further in view of Hudson, Jr. et al (6199338).

Hardy discloses a starter block 11 for facilitating the subsequent positioning of shingles upon a hip roof, the starter block 1 comprising:

13. a top surface

Application/Control Number: 10/757145 Page 5

Art Unit: 3635

14. two side surfaces

- 15. front surface having a rectangular face
- 16. back surface having a rectangular face
- 17. the height of the front surface is greater than that of the back surface
- 18. a shingle 12/13/14 placed flat on the top surface will extend back to the roof without bending. See figure 2
- 19. The width of the starter strip is not disclosed as being equal to the shingle as shown by Kirkhoff; see below
- 20. a nailing zone on the top surface, figure 3
- 21. the starter block is made of a polymer material but does not include a filler commonly used in shingles as shown by Kirkhoff, column 6, lines 46-64. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the starter block made of not only a foam polyurethane but also a filler like the shingle to create a uniform structure to the roof
- 22. the front surface is shown in figure 3 to be twice the height of the back; the particular dimensions of the starter block/shingle has been considered a matter of choice; one of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated the dimension of the shingle to be used and would have fashioned the starter block accordingly
- 23. Kirkhoff discloses a top surface resembling a slate; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify hardy to include the top slate to more readily accommodate the shingle as shown by Kirkhoff
- 24. a coarse of starter blocks

Art Unit: 3635

Hardy lacks the tapered side edges. However tapered side edges is known in the art of shingles as shown by Gleason et al, element 14, figure 3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify hardy to taper any edge to give a finished or different appearance to starter block as shown by Gleason et al.

Hudson discloses a course of starter shingles of the same length as the shingles.

Though Kirkhoff hints at a course of starter blocks, Hudson discloses the course of starter shingles commensurate in dimension with the shingle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hardy to include a starter shingle commensurate in dimension with the starter shingle n order to facilitate easy installation as suggested by Hudson and kirkhoff.

Claims 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over hardy in view Kirkhuff (3852934), Gleason et al (4279106) and Hudson as applied to clam 12 and further in view of Earhardt (5953862).

The nailing zone is not indented as shown by Earhardt et al, see abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hardy to include the indented nailing zone to accommodate proper placement of the nail upon installation of the starter block and shingles as shown by Earhardt.

Claims 6-7 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirkhuff (3852934), Gleason et al (4279106) and Hudson as applied to claim 12 and

Art Unit: 3635

further in view of Bussey (2142996). Hardy lacks the nibs extending from the side

surfaces of the shingle/starter block. Bussey discloses nibs 24/26/25 extending from

the side surface of his roofing shingle 27. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art to include these nibs on the starter block/shingle of Kirkhoff to provide an

aligning means upon installation of the starter block/shingles on the roof.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chapman E Jeanette whose telephone number is 571-

272-6841. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 8:30-6:00, every other

fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Friedman Carl can be reached on 571-272-6842. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JEANETTE E. CHAPMA

ADT LINET COSE